

Thinking “Bigger” About Smaller Places: Capturing the “Adjacent Possible” Innovations

Presented to the

Coal-Reliant Communities Innovation Challenge Workshop

Pikeville, Kentucky

April 23, 2015

Charles W. Fluharty

President & CEO

Rural Policy Research Institute



Three Questions:

Innovating What?

Diversifying How?

Transitioning Where?

“All great truths begin as blasphemies.”

-George Bernard Shaw

Innovating What?

How “we” consider “we”

How we “see” our region

How we “consider” our options

How we support the “connectors”

Diversifying How?

In our vision of the future

In our sense of possibility

In our actions and alignments

In our new collaborations

In our narrative and networks

Transitioning Where?

The Framework for Regional Rural Innovation



Critical Internal Considerations

- Wealth Creation, Intergenerational Wealth Retention, and Appropriate Wealth Distribution
- Youth Engagement, Retention, and Leadership Development
- Social Inclusion and Social Equity Considerations
- Specific Attention to Social Mobility and Inequality

Eight Forms of Rural Health

Physical

Financial

Natural

Human

Intellectual

Social

Cultural

Political

LEAVING THE LAND

China's Great Uprooting: Moving 250 Million Into Cities

Articles in this series look at how China's government-driven effort to push the population to towns and cities is reshaping a nation that for millennia has been defined by its rural life.



http://www.nytimes.com/2013/06/16/world/asia/chinas-great-uprooting-moving-250-million-into-cities.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0

Promoting Growth in All Regions



GROWTH
POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP
CATCHING-UP REGION
INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP
REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES
REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS
INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INST
CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS
POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP
GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS
GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH
CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP
INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES
REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS
REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP


GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INST
INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INST
CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS
POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POL
GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP
GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH
POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH
CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP



...but not necessarily faster growth


Only 45% of metro-regions grow faster than the national average.

Metro-regions appear to have entered in a process of convergence.



...signs of inefficiencies appear in significant number of metro-regions...

Contributions to aggregate growth depend on few hub regions...



Source: OECD Regional Database (Territorial Level 2 regions).

...the fat tail is equally important - if not more - to aggregate growth...



Stanford SOCIAL INNOVATION Review

Informing and inspiring leaders of social change

Subscribe
Renew
Gift



+ Subscriber Login | W

BUSINESS

GLOBAL ISSUES

GOVERNMENT

NONPROFITS

PHILANTHROPY

SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP

MAGAZINE

BLOG

WEBINARS

BOOKS

SUPPLEMENTS

PODCASTS

EVENTS

REPRINTS

STANFORD PACS

NONPROFIT MANAGEMENT

Collective Impact

Large-scale social change requires broad cross-sector coordination, yet the social sector remains focused on the isolated intervention of individual organizations.

SHARE PRINT DOWNLOAD ORDER REPRINTS COMMENT

By John Kania & Mark Kramer | go | Winter 2011

See also:

Google™ Custom Search

Free Weekly eNewsletter

Your email address

Latest Newsletter

SSIR Digital Edition

View eBook or
download PDF.

SELE

Five Conditions for Collective Impact Success

I. Common Agenda

- Shared vision for change

II. Mutually Reinforcing Activities

- Differentiated, but still coordinated

III. Backbone Organization

- Serves entire initiative, coordinating participating organizations, firms and agencies

Five Conditions for Collective Impact Success (cont'd)

IV. Continuous Communication

- Consistent, open, unmediated

V. Rigorous and Shared Measurement

- Collecting predictive indicators, regional data: then measuring ongoing results consistently



“What lies behind us,
and what lies before us
are tiny matters
compared to
what lies within us.”

-Ralph Waldo Emerson



Charles W. Fluharty

cfluharty@rupri.org

President & CEO

Rural Policy Research Institute

Clinical Professor

Department of Health Management and Policy

University of Iowa College of Public Health

145 N. Riverside Drive

Iowa City, IA 52242

(319) 384-3816

<http://www.rupri.org/>